

## **DENMEAD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN**

Meeting Notes from Launch Event

*Date of Meeting* Friday 25<sup>th</sup> November 2011 at 7.30pm in the Old School

*Present:*

Cllr Neil Lander-Brinkley (NLB)	Cllr Kevin Andreoli (KA)
Cllr Felicity Hull (FH)	Cllr Gren Phillips (GP)
Cllr Patricia Stallard (PS)	Cllr Richard Hallet (RH)
Cllr Jerry Harrison (JH)	Cllr Mike Read (MR)
D/Cllr Kirk Phillips (KP)	John Knight (JK)
David Griffiths (DG)	Chris Lyons (CL)
Peter Ambrose (PA)	Jim Kerr (JKerr)
John Reynolds (JR)	George Hollingbery MP (GH)
Jenny Nell (JN)	

*Notes taken by* Tony Daniells (TD), Clerk to Denmead Parish Council

*Next Meeting:* Wednesday 7<sup>th</sup> December 2011, 2.30pm, The Old School

---

### **1. Introductions**

NLB welcomed and thanked those present for their attendance.

### **2 Introduction to Neighbourhood Planning**

NLB used slides to introduce the Neighbourhood Plan; what it meant to be a Front Runner; what a Neighbourhood Plan was and the basic conditions for producing such a Plan. Copies of the slides used are available from the website or from the Parish Office by request.

### **3. Winchester City Council Role**

JN outlined the role of Winchester City Council. This was to translate regulations and advise on the process; to support the Forum with information; and an ongoing role to support the Forum.

The following questions were asked and answered

- Was there a target date for the drafting of a NP – *No date set as yet but it would have to follow the Core Strategy. A penciled in date was Easter 2013*
- If Bartons Farm did not go ahead, would this put extra pressure on other PUSH areas to take additional development – *No, Winchester still had a target to achieve.*
- Was up to date biodiversity information available from WCC – *Yes*
- How was development controlled in the interim until the Core Strategy was agreed – *The District was vulnerable as it did not have a 5 year land supply for housing. GM referred to a transition period but the specifics of such were very complex.*
- What makes a successful referendum – *a simple majority of those voting, irrespective of that number who did vote. A referendum would be needed.*

### **4. The View from Westminster**

GH referred to the Localism Bill which contained a whole suite of changes designed to put decision making in the hands of local residents who would be affected by them. It was local residents with local knowledge who were best placed to make the decisions on issues that affected them, of which the location of new developments was one.

## **5. Summary**

NLB summed up the purpose of a Neighbourhood Plan. This was to

- Identify sites for affordable home
- Identify sites for market homes
- Address the under provision of recreational space
- Identify future burial ground space
- Consolidate and expand commercial enterprises
- Confirm the extent of the local Gap.

He concluded with the thought that there was much work to do to get the plan completed.

## **6. Exercise**

Attendees then had the chance to develop a vision of how Denmead might look like in the years to come. Working in groups, the vision was written on to flip charts. The flip charts from the four groups have been transcribed and are attached at the end of these notes.

Meeting closed at 9.20pm

Copies to      Attendees  
                    Parish and Ward Councillors

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Separate from other communities</li> <li>- Retain green spaces and hedgerows</li> <li>- Plan for recreation within community</li> <li>- Good transport to towns, cities, countryside</li> <li>- Encompass all ages and balanced communities</li> <li>- Vibrant village centre support local shops and commerce</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Retain industrial/commercial site</li> <li>- Develop cheaper industrial premises</li> <li>- Protect community assets</li> <li>- Range of homes sufficient outside space/gardens</li> <li>- Denmead character &amp; design style</li> <li>- Protect local woods and SINCs</li> </ul>
--	--

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- YES</li> <li>- Village status and environment : Denmead gap</li> <li>- Open spaces/green lungs</li> <li>- Improve infrastructure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Roads</li> <li>o Public transport</li> <li>o Sewage</li> <li>o Run off flooding</li> <li>o Broadband/phone</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Maintain &amp; improve existing shops</li> <li>- More recreation space</li> <li>- More youth activities</li> <li>- Ensure build quality and use of traditional village materials</li> <li>- Affordable housing for local people (exception)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- NO</li> <li>- We are not urban</li> <li>- Avoid dormitory enclaves</li> </ul>
---	--

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Proper village green &amp; pond</li> <li>- Municipal park/gardens</li> <li>- Need more local employment opportunities and businesses</li> <li>- More small/local shops to meet everyday needs</li> <li>- Leisure facilities</li> <li>- Elderly people's facilities</li> <li>- Local community/housing/council hubs</li> <li>- Public transport links</li> <li>- Café culture and rural fayres</li> <li>- Electronic infrastructure (broadband)</li> </ul>
--

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Independent rural community surrounded by green, differentiated from neighbouring urban community</li> <li>- Maintain identified village centre with appropriate retail to support all levels of community. (young to old)</li> <li>- Viable employment locations</li> <li>- Maintain TPT links</li> <li>- Re-establish amenity land to agreed standards</li> <li>- Build according to community desire</li> </ul>
---